## Exhibit Q

```
1
    1bgr911c
  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
   SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
   -----x
 2
 3
   In Re: TERRORIST ATTACKS ON
 3
          SEPTEMBER 11, 2001
                                      03 MDL 1570 (GBD)
 4
 4
    ----x
 5
                                       New York, N.Y.
 5
                                       November 16, 2011
 6
                                       2:30 p.m.
 6
 7
   Before:
 7
 8
           HON. FRANK MAAS
 8
 9
                                       Magistrate Judge
9
10
10
11
           APPEARANCES
11
12
12 KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP
13
       Attorneys for Ashton Plaintiffs
13 BY: JAMES KREINDLER
14
        ANDREW J. MALONEY, III
14
15
15 COZEN O'CONNOR
16
       Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Insurance
16 BY: SEAN CARTER
        J. SCOTT TARBUTTON
17
17
18
18 MOTLEY RICE LLC
19 Attorneys for Burnett Plaintiffs
19 BY: ROBERT T. HAEFELE
20
20
21 ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, P.C.
21
        Attorneys for O'Neill Plaintiffs
22 BY: JERRY S. GOLDMAN
22
23
23 BERNABEI & WACHTEL PLLC
    Attorneys for Defendants Al Haramain Islamic Foundation
24
24
        and Perouz Seda Ghaty
25 BY: ALAN R. KABAT
                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                         (212) 805-0300
```

```
2
    1bgr911c
             APPEARANCES
 2
 2 CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP
 3
        Attorneys for Defendant Dubai Islamic Bank
 3
    BY: RONI E. BERGOFFEN
 4
 4
 5
    STEVEN K. BARENTZEN
 5
         Attorney for Dr. Jamal Barzinji
 6
 6
 7
    OMAR T. MOHAMMEDI
 7
         Attorney for defendants WAMY International, Inc.
 8
 8
 9
    MARTIN MCMAHON (telephone)
9
    Attorney for Defendant Muslim World League
10
10
11
   LUQUE GERAGOS MARINO LLP
11
        Attorneys for Defendant African Muslim Agency
12
    BY: NANCY LUQUE (telephone)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                   SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

1bgr911c

25 THE COURT: It's a little hard to understand, if some SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

12 1bgr911c of these organizations have a thousand or thousands of employees, how 12,000 pages, even if it's all financial 3 records, would be all the financial records, quarterly, etc., 4 that relate to all of these branches for a multiyear period. Are you representing that in response to this first category 6 somebody, Mr. al-Radhi or somebody else, on behalf of IIRO 7 queried every branch office to secure the documents that 8 plaintiffs have requested? 9 MR. McMAHON: Your Honor, I believe that's the case. 10 I will have to go back and check his affidavit. As I said at 11 page 13 paragraph 5, these documents were apparently sent to 12 counsel's office. 13 THE COURT: Just to avoid the game of chicken, I'm 14 going to direct that you provide that CD to plaintiffs' counsel 15 and also that plaintiffs' counsel review it. 16 MR. McMAHON: There are 12 CD's. 17 THE COURT: Like I said, the 12 CD's. I don't want to 18 leave anybody in suspense. It's not my intent at the end of today to grant or recommend -- I think it would be a grant, 19 20 since this is a discovery issue -- dispositive relief in terms 21 of something like striking the answer of any of these 22 defendants. But I do think, unless I'm convinced otherwise, we 2.3 may be heading in that direction. 24 MR. McMAHON: Does your Honor have a viewpoint on the 25 bank documents we have, which are difficult to read? I asked SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1bgr911c

2.3

Mr. Cater to send somebody down here to look at these. We inquired of the bank about a digital format, but that may be months away. I simply suggest to send somebody down to look at the bank records.

THE COURT: I didn't go back through prior transcripts, but I thought that there was a representation at some prior session that there was no digital version of this. Maybe the representation was just that there was no digital file at these defendants' offices.

MR. McMAHON: I think at that time, your Honor, we didn't have total definition on this issue. But subsequently, in conference with the bank of Mr. al-Radhi, we discovered that there is a hardcopy, and if they are to have access to the digital records, that would take an enormous amount of time. I know I referenced that somewhere that that is something that is still --

THE COURT: You say it would take I guess it was at least six months. One of the things that plaintiffs pointed out was the letter request seeking these documents, I guess from just one bank, was dated August 15th, which hardly suggests that the defendants are proceeding with dispatch.

MR. McMAHON: Your Honor, I addressed this in point 4 on page 13, right before 5. I just want to know what to do with these records, because we do have them. I want you to know that I made the offer to come and visit and see if they SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1bgr911c

can read these banking records if they so terribly want them. I can't be more definite on what is in here regarding any digital version.

MR. CARTER: Your Honor, my recollection of this is that we were initially told that this was an old dot matrix printout of some banking records and that there were no digital files that could ever be identified. When we interviewed Mr. al-Radhi at Mr. McMahon's request, what he told us is that these were banking records that were printed out by their banks during the course of this litigation. That prompted an inquiry from us.

If that is the case, then digital files have existed during the course of this litigation. Has anyone gone and asked them to print it again so that we can have a legible copy or to give us the digital files? Mr. al-Radhi said we've never asked them.

So, the first representation was that we've had checked, it doesn't exist. The second representation is no one ever asked. It's just difficult for us to figure out what the actual playing field is.

THE COURT: It seems to me that there is an obligation to produce records not just in the possession of a party but those that are in their custody or control. To the extent that there are electronic records or files that are available from the banks, those have to be requested in a timely fashion and SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1bgr911c produced.

It also seems to me that the request, unless Mr. Carter tells me otherwise, extends to each branch of the organization. And to the extent that there are nonduplicative files in the branches, those have to be produced, whether it's burdensome or not.

This whole case is about money being diverted toward terrorist goals. As I understand it, the lion's share of the effort is to see where money went. So the notion that this is a lot of paper or bytes of information and therefore burdensome, Mr. McMahon, doesn't really resonate to be me.

MR. McMAHON: OK, your Honor. I went back and tried to find the reference to the banking records. That's in paragraph 22, I guess, of Mr. al-Radhi's affidavit. My team has also inquired of the al-Radhi bank if they have a digital record of financial banking transactions, and they have stated such inquiries should be requested to the head office and it might take six months, and we are in the process of doing that accordingly.

THE COURT: I assume if you had a large number of branches, there is also a fairly large number of banks. What is required here is not one request to one bank but, to the extent that records don't exist in the branches themselves, many requests to many banks.

While I said that I'm certainly at this stage not SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1bgr911c

going to grant dispositive sanctions, at some point Mr. al-Radhi or somebody else, as a 30(b)(6) witness, is going to testify as to the efforts that these defendants made in response to these requests.

2.3

Except to the extent that the two sides can agree that some branch office is not relevant, if each branch office is not queried and the documents from that branch produced, as far as I'm concerned that will have been an inadequate search and may lead to dispositive sanctions.

MR. McMAHON: I hear and appreciate that, your Honor.
MR. CARTER: Your Honor, we focused a lot during the
discussion today on the financial records and bank statements,
but there were a number of other categories.

THE COURT: I had written down, just on the April 12th order, I was going to focus on 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8. We don't have time to go through each one. I know 2 is important to you, but you seemed to get a list of orphans, so I skipped that one.

3 relates to the annual constituent council meetings where it would appear that there should be centrally located files. To the extent that there is something from the Philippines' office, as an example, that the main office doesn't have, if the Philippines office has it, it needs to be produced from that office.

I guess 4 is similar, although I would imagine Mr. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300